arXiv:1401.0776v1 [math.GR] 4 Jan 2014

WOHLFAHRT'S THEOREM FOR THE HECKE GROUP G_5

CHENG LIEN LANG AND MONG LUNG LANG

ABSTRACT. Let K be a subgroup of the inhomogeneous Hecke group G_5 of geometric level r. Then K is congruence if and only if K contains the principal congruence subgroup $G(2r)$. In the case $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4$, K is congruence if and only if K contains the principal congruence subgroup $G(r)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Let H_5 be the homogeneous Hecke group generated by S and T given as follows and let $H(\pi) = \{A \in H_5 : A \equiv I \pmod \pi\}$ be the principal congruence subgroup associated with $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$.

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\lambda = 2\cos\pi/5$. Let $Z = \langle \pm I \rangle$ be the centre of H_5. The inhomogeneous Hecke group G_5 and its principal congruence subgroup $G(\pi)$ in which a matrix and its negative are identified are defined as $G_5 = H_5/Z$ and $G(\pi) = H(\pi)Z/Z$. A subgroup K of G_5 is called a congruence subgroup if $G(\pi) \subseteq K$ for some π. Let K be a congruence subgroup of G_5. Since $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ is a principal ideal domain, there exists a unique subgroup $G(\pi)$ of G_5 such that $G(\tau) \subseteq G(\pi)$ whenever $G(\tau) \subseteq K$. The ideal (π) is called *the algebraic level* of K. The least common multiple N of the cusp widths of K is called the *geometric level* of G (the width of a cusp x is the smallest positive integer m such that $\pm T_q^m$ is conjugate in G_5 to an element of K fixing x). The main purpose of the present article is to prove the following theorem which is an obvious generalisation of Wohlfahrt's Theorem for the modular group $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $K \subseteq G_5$ be a congruence subgroup of geometric level m and algebraic level (π). Let n be the rational integer below (π). Then the following holds.*

(i) *Suppose that $m \not\equiv 0$ (mod 4). Then $G(m) \subseteq K$ and $n = m$.*
(ii) *Suppose that $4|m$. Then $G(2m) \subseteq K$ and n is either m or $2m$.*

1.2. The Plan. Let m be the geometric level of K and n the rational integer below the algebraic level (π) of K. (i) Theorem 4.1 is equivalent to $N(G(mn), T^m) = G(m)$ and (ii) of Theorem 4.1 is equivalent to $G(2m) \subseteq N(G(mn), T^m)$, where $N(G(mn), T^m)$ is the smallest normal subgroup of G_5 that contains $G(mn)$ and T^m. Since $N(G(mn), T^m)$ is an intermediate subgroup of $G(mn) \subseteq G(m)$, it is clear that (i) Theorem 4.1 can be proved if a *small* upper bound U for $[G(m) : G(mn)]$ and a *large* lower bound L for $[N(H(mn), T^m) : G(mn)]$ enjoys the fact that $U = L$. This is achieved in Section 2 (Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 for the upper bound) and Appendices A-C (for the lower bound). As for (ii) of Theorem 4.1, one may obtain the fact $G(2m) \subseteq N(G(mn), T^m)$ by studying *all* the conjugates of T^m modulo $G(mn)$ (Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8).

1.3. Geometry of G_5. An easy study of the group $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}[\lambda])$ will provide us a good upper bound for $[G(m) : G(mn)]$ (Lemma 2.1) except for the case $[G(2\pi) : G(4\pi)]$, where $\gcd(2, \pi) = 1$. This is where the study of the geometry of G_5 comes in. The actual index of $[G(2\pi) : G(4\pi)]$ is obtained by studying a special polygon (fundamental domain) associated to $G(2)$ (subsection 2.2). As an application of Theorem 4.1, one can prove easily the following proposition.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F06.
Key words and phrases. Hecke groups, Congruence subgroups, Wohlfahrt's Theorem.

Proposition 5.1. *Let K be a subgroup of finite index of G_5 with geometric level m. Then*

(i) *Suppose that $m \not\equiv 0 \ (mod\ 4)$. Then K is congruence if and only if $G(m) \subseteq K$.*
(ii) *Suppose that $4|m$. Then K is congruence if and only if $G(2m) \subseteq K$.*

1.4. An Algorithm. Wohlfahrt's Theorem has been recognised as one of the indispensable part of the study of the congruence subgroups for $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ and $PSL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ as the geometric level of a subgroup of $PSL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ or $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ can be determined geometrically (see (v) of subsection 2.2). Algorithms for the determination of whether a subgroup of $PSL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ is congruence can be found in [LLT2]. With the help of Proposition 5.1, the algorithm given in [LLT2] can be generalised easily to the Hecke group G_q (q prime). We will investigate subgroups of G_5 of index ≤ 5 in Section 5.

1.5. The rest of the article is organsied as follows. In Section 2, we give some technical lemmas which will be used to prove Theorem 4.1. Section 3 is devoted to the study of the normal closure of $G(mn)$ and T^m. Proof of Wohlfahrt's Theorem for G_5 can be found in Section 4. Section 5 studies the congruence subgroups of small indices for G_5. A proof of Wohlfahrt's Theorem for the modular group without Dirichlet' Theorem can be found in Appendix D.

2. Technical Lemmas

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Denoted by (x) the ideal generated by x. We say x is a divisor of y (y is a multiple of x) if $(y) \subseteq (x)$. For our convenience, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$, we will use the notation $x|y$ if x is a divisor of y. Recall that

(i) $5 = \lambda^{-2}(2+\lambda)^2$ ramifies totally in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$,
(ii) 2 and rational primes of the form $10k \pm 3$ are primes in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$,
(iii) rational prime of the form $10k \pm 1$ splits into $p = p_1 p_2$, where $p_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ are primes.

The smallest positive rational integer m in (π) is called the rational integer below (π).

2.1. For A an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$, we may also define the principal congruence subgroup $L(A)$ of $L_5 = SL(2,\mathbb{Z}[\lambda])$ analogously. The formula for the index of the principal congruence subgroup $L(A)$ in $SL(2,\mathbb{Z}[\lambda])$ is easily calculated as the modular group case (see [Sh]); it is

$$[L_5 : L(A)] = N(A)^3 \prod_{P|A} (1 - N(P)^{-2}), \tag{2.1}$$

For any $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$, it is clear that $[G(u) : G(uv)] = \epsilon[H(u) : H(uv)]$, where $\epsilon = 1/2$ or 1 and $\epsilon = 1/2$ if and only if $(2) = (u) \neq (uv)$. Applying (2.1), the following is clear.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\pi, \tau \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Suppose that π is a prime and that $\tau \notin (\pi)$. Then*

$$[G(\pi\tau) : G(\pi^2\tau)] \leq \epsilon[H(\pi) : H(\pi^2)] \leq \epsilon[L(\pi) : L(\pi^2)] = \epsilon N(\pi)^3. \tag{2.2}$$

where $\epsilon = 1/2$ or 1 and $\epsilon = 1/2$ if and only if $(\pi\tau) = (2)$.

Remark. $N(2) = 4$, $N(2+\lambda) = 5$. If p is a rational prime, then $N(p) = p^2$. In the case the rational prime below (π) is of the form $p = 10k \pm 1$, $N(\pi) = p$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let m be a rational integer and let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be a rational prime divisor of m. Suppose that $mp \neq 4$. Then the following matrices generate an elementary abelian group of order p^6 modulo mp.*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & m\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -m\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1-m\lambda^2 & m\lambda^3 \\ -m\lambda & 1+m\lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -m & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1-m\lambda & m\lambda^2 \\ -m & 1+m\lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Put the above six matrices into the form $I + mU$. One sees easily the following identity.

$$(I+mU)(I+mV) \equiv I + m(U+V) \equiv (I+mU)(I+mV) \pmod{mp}. \tag{2.3}$$

Hence every non-identity element has order p modulo mp and the above matrices modulo mp generate an abelian group. Note that (2.3) makes the unpleasant multiplication of $I + mU$ and

$I + mV$ into the very easy addition of U and V. In order to show the above matrices generate a group of order p^6 modulo mp, we consider the following groups.

$$M = \left\langle X_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m\lambda^i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, Y_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -m\lambda^i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,\ N = \left\langle Z_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - m\lambda^{i+1} & m\lambda^{i+2} \\ -m\lambda^i & 1 + m\lambda^{i+1} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

where $0 \leq i \leq 1$. It is easy to see that M and N are abelian groups of order p^4 and p^2 respectively. Applying (2.3) and the fact that N is abelian, elements in N take the following simple form

$$Z_0^{c_0} Z_1^{c_1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 - m\sum_{i=0}^{1} c_i\lambda^{i+1} & m\sum_{i=0}^{1} c_i\lambda^{i+2} \\ -m\sum_{i=0}^{1} c_i\lambda^i & 1 + m\sum_{i=0}^{1} c_i\lambda^{i+1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.4}$$

Note that we may assume that $0 \leq c_i \leq p-1$. Similar to (2.4), elements in M take the form

$$X_0^{a_0} X_1^{a_1} Y_0^{b_0} Y_1^{b_1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m\sum_{i=0}^{1} a_i\lambda^i \\ -m\sum_{i=0}^{1} b_i\lambda^i & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.5}$$

Suppose that $Z_0^{c_0} Z_1^{c_1} \equiv \pm X_0^{a_0} X_1^{a_1} Y_0^{b_0} Y_1^{b_1}$ modulo mp (in G_5, a matrix is identified with its negative). An easy study of the (2,2)-entries of (2.4) and (2.5) implies that $1 + m\sum_{i=0}^{1} c_i\lambda^{i+1} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{mp}$. Since $mp \neq 4$, one must have $c_0 = c_1 = 0$. As a consequence, $M \cap N = \{1\}$. Hence $|\langle\Omega\rangle| = |M||N| = p^6$. □

2.2. In [K], Kulkarni applied a combination of geometric and arithmetic methods to show that one can produce a set of independent generators in the sense of Rademacher for the congruence subgroups of the modular group, in fact for all subgroups of finite indices. His method can be generalised to all subgroups of finite indices of the inhomogeneous Hecke groups G_q, where q is a prime. See [LLT1] for detail (Propositions 8-10 and Section 3 of [LLT1]). In short, for each subgroup K of finite index of G_q, one can associate with K a set of Hecke-Farey symbols (HFS) $\{-\infty, x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_n, \infty\}$, a special polygon (fundamental domain) Φ, and an additional structure on each consecutive pair of x_i's of the three types described below :

$$x_i \underset{\circ}{\smile} x_{i+1},\ x_i \underset{\bullet}{\smile} x_{i+1},\ x_i \underset{a}{\smile} x_{i+1}.$$

where a is a nature number. Each nature number a occurs exactly twice or not at all. Similar to the modular group, the actual values of the a's is unimportant: it is the pairing induced on the pairs that matters.

(i) The side pairing $\circ$ is an elliptic element of order 2 that pairs the even line $(a/b, c/d)$ with itself. The trace of such an element is 0.
(ii) The side pairing $\bullet$ is an elliptic element of order q that pairs the odd line $(a/b, c/d)$. The absolute value of the trace of such an element is λ_q.
(iii) The two sides $(a/b, c/d)$ and $(u/v, x/y)$ with the label a are paired together by an element of infinite order.
(iv) The special polygon associated with the HFS is a fundamental domain of K and the side pairings $I_K = \{g_1, g_2 \cdots, g_m\}$ associated with the HFS is a set of independent generators of K (Theorem 7, Propositions 8-10 of [LLT1]).
(v) The width of a cusp x, denoted by $w(x)$, is the number of even lines in Φ that comes into x. Algebraically, it is the smallest positive integer m such that $\pm T_q^m$ is conjugate in G_q to an element of K fixing x (keep in mind that a matrix is identified with its negative in G_q).

Lemma 2.3. *$G(2)/G(4)$ is an elementary abelian group of order 2^4. Suppose that $gcd(2, \pi) = 1$ and $(\pi) \neq (1)$. Then $G(2\pi)/G(4\pi)$ is an elementary abelian group of order 2^5.*

Proof. A set of independent generators of $G(2)$ is given as follows (see [LLT1] for detail).

$$\Omega_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2\lambda & 2 + 2\lambda \\ 2\lambda & 1 + 2\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2\lambda & 2\lambda \\ 2 + 2\lambda & 1 + 2\lambda \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \tag{2.6}$$

Denoted by a_i the members in Ω_2. It is easy to see that $a_i^2 \equiv 1$ and $a_i a_j \equiv a_j a_i$ modulo 4. Similar to Lemma 2.2, one can show that $[G(2) : G(4)] = 2^4$. By Lemma 2.1, $[G(2\pi) : G(4\pi)] \leq [H(2) : H(4)] = 2^5$. Let m be the smallest rational integer in (π). By our results in Appendix B, $G(2\pi)$ contains the following five matrices (modulo 4π).

$$I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 0 \\ -1 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since these matrices generate an elementary abelian group of order 2^5 (see Appendix B), one has $[G(2\pi) : G(4\pi)] = 2^5$. □

2.3. The homogeneous Hecke group H_5. In G_5, $G(ab) \neq G(a) \cap G(b)$. This creates some obstruction for our study of the normal closure (see Lemma 3.2) and we have to turn our study to the homogeneous group H_5 as follows.

Lemma 2.4. *Let K be a normal subgroup of H_5. Suppose that $T \in K$. Then $K = H_5$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $gcd(a, b) = 1$. Then $H(a)H(b) = H_5$ and $H_5/H(ab) \cong H_5/H(a) \times H_5/H(b)$.*

Proof. We note first that we are working on H_5, where $H(a) \cap H(b) = H(ab)$ and the order of S is 4 (S has order 2 in G_5). Every element x in H_5 can be written as a word $w(S, T)$ in S and T. Since K is normal, $T \in K$, and S is of order 4, we have $xK = K$, SK, S^2K or S^3K. Hence $[G_5 : K] = 1$, 2 or 4. Note that ST is of order 5. Hence $ST = (ST)^{16} \in K$. Since $T \in K$, we have $S \in K$. As a consequence, $K = H_5$. We shall now study the second part of the lemma. Since $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, $T^a \in H(a)$, and $T^b \in H(b)$, one has $T \in H(a)H(b)$. This implies that $H_5 = H(a)H(b)$. Note that $H(a) \cap H(b) = H(ab)$. As a consequence, $H_5/H(ab) \cong H_5/H(a) \times H_5/H(b)$. □

Lemma 2.4 can be generalised to all Hecke group G_q, where q is a prime, as follows.

Lemma 2.5. *Let q be a prime and let K be a normal subgroup of G_q. Suppose that $T \in K$. Then $K = G_q$. In particular, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, if $gcd(a, b) = 1$, then $G(a)G(b) = G_q$.*

3. The normal closure $N(G(\pi), T^m)$

Definition 3.1. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Denoted by $N(G(\pi), T^m)$ the smallest normal subgroup (normal closure) of G_5 that contains $G(\pi)$ and T^m.

3.1. The main purpose of this subsection is to study the group $N(G(mn), T^m)$ where m or n is odd, or $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. We will show that $N(G(mn), T^m) = G(m)$ under such assumption.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $gcd(a, b) = 1$. Then $N(G(ab)), T^b) = G(b)$.*

Proof. To prove our lemma, we shall work on H_5, where $H(a) \cap H(b) = H(ab)$ and $H_5 \cong H(b)/H(ab) \times H(a)/H(ab)$ (Lemma 2.4). Set $X = N(H(ab), T^b)$. Then $H(ab) \subseteq X \subseteq H(b)$. Since $T^b \in X, T^a \in H(a)$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, one has $T \in XH(a)$. By Lemma 2.4, $XH(a) = H_5$. Hence

$$X/H(ab) \times H(a)/H(ab) \cong XH(a)/H(ab) = H_5/H(ab) \cong H(b)/H(ab) \times H(a)/H(ab). \quad (3.1)$$

Note that $X \subseteq H(b)$. It is now clear that (3.1) implies that $X = H(b)$. Equivalently, $H(b) = N(H(ab), T^b)$. As a consequence, one has $G(b) = N(G(ab), T^b)$. □

Remark In Lemma 3.2, one has to work on H_5 rather than G_5 as $H(a) \cap H(b) = H(ab)$ but $G(a) \cap G(b) \neq G(ab)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is odd. Them $N(G(mn), T^m) = G(m)$.*

Proof. We shall first assume that $n = p$ is an odd prime. Applying ($A8$) of Appendix A, $N(G(mp), T^m)$ contains the following six matrices (modulo mp).

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & m\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -m\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 - m\lambda^2 & m\lambda^3 \\ -m\lambda & 1 + m\lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -m & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 - m\lambda & m\lambda^2 \\ -m & 1 + m\lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, $|N(G(mp), T^m)/G(mp)| \geq p^6$. Since $N(G(mp), T^m)/G(mp) \subseteq G(m)/G(mp)$ and $|G(m)/G(mp)| \leq p^6$ (Lemma 2.1), we have $N(G(mp), T^m) = G(m)$. We shall now study

the general case. It is clear that $N(G(nm), T^m) \subseteq G(m)$. Let n_0 be the smallest positive integer such that $G(n_0 m) \subseteq G(m)$. Suppose that $n_0 > 1$. Let p be a prime divisor of n_0 Then

$$G(n_0 m/p) = N(G(p(n_0 m/p)), T^{mn_0/p}) \subseteq N(G(nm), T^m) \subseteq G(m). \tag{3.2}$$

This contradicts the minimality of n_0. It follows that $n_0 = 1$ and that $N(G(nm), T^m) = G(m)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that m is odd. Then $N(G(nm), T^m) = G(m)$.*

Proof. Decompose n into $n = m' m_0$, where $m_0 = \gcd(m, n)$. It follows that $\gcd(m', m_0 m) = 1$. It is clear that

$$N(G(m' m_0 m), T^{m_0 m}) \subseteq N(G(nm), T^m). \tag{3.3}$$

Since $\gcd(m', m_0 m) = 1$, we may apply Lemma 3.2 and conclude that the group to the right hand side of (3.3) is

$$N(G(m' m_0 m), T^{m_0 m}) = G(m_0 m). \tag{3.4}$$

Hence $G(m_0 m) \subseteq N(G(nm), T^m)$. As a consequence, $N(G(m_0 m), T^m) \subseteq N(G(nm), T^m)$. Since m is odd and $m_0 = \gcd(m, n)$, m_0 is odd as well. Applying Lemma 3.3, one has

$$G(m) = N(G(m_0 m), T^m) \subseteq N(G(nm), T^m) \subseteq G(m). \tag{3.5}$$

Hence $N(G(nm), T^m) = G(m)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

3.2. The main purpose of this subsection is to study the group $N(G(mn), T^m)$ when n or m is even. It turns out that the group $N(G(mn), T^m)$ does not behave very well as their counterparts in subsection 3.1.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $gcd(m, 2) = 1$. Then $N(G(4m), T^{2m}) = G(2m)$.*

Proof. By our results in Appendix B, $N(G(4m), T^{2m}) \subseteq G(2m)$ possesses the following five matrices (modulo $4m$).

$$I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 0 \\ -1 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The above matrices modulo $4m$ generate an elementary abelian group of order 2^5 if $m \geq 2$, an elementary abelian group of order 2^4 if $m = 1$ (see Appendix B). Note that the orders meet the upper bound of $[G(2m) : G(4m)]$ is both cases (Lemma 2.3). As a consequence, one has $N(G(4m), T^{2m}) = G(2m)$. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $gcd(m, 2) = 1$. Then $N(G(8m), T^{2m}) = G(2m)$.*

Proof. It is clear that $N(G(8m), T^{4m}) \subseteq N(G(4m), T^{2m})$. Apply our results in Appendix B, the following are members of $N(G(8m), T^{4m}) \subseteq G(4m) \cap N(G(8m), T^{2m})$ modulo $8m$.

$$I + 4m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I + 4m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I + 4m \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 0 \\ -1 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, I + 4m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I + 4m \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote these five matrices by X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 and X_5. Then $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5\}$ generates an elementary abelian group E of order 2^5 modulo $8m$ (see Appendix B). Note that $E \subseteq G(4m)/G(8m)$ and that

$$I + 4m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is not an element of } E \subseteq G(4)/G(8m). \tag{3.6}$$

We now consider the element $(T^2 S T^{-2} S^{-1})^2$. Since $4m^2 \equiv 4m \pmod{8m}$, $\lambda^2 = \lambda + 1$, direct calculation shows that (modulo $8m$)

$$X = (T^2 S T^{-2} S^{-1})^2 \equiv I + 4m \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + 1 & \lambda \\ \lambda & \lambda + 1 \end{pmatrix} \in N(G(8m), T^{2m}) \cap G(4m). \tag{3.7}$$

Applying the identity $(I + 4mU)(I + 4mV) \equiv I + 4m(U + V)$ modulo $8m$, the following is clear.

$$X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X \equiv I + 4m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.8}$$

By (3.6), $X_1X_2X_3X_4X_5X \notin E$. Hence $\langle X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X\rangle$ modulo $8m$ is an elementary abelian group of order 2^6. Note that

$$F = \langle X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X\rangle \subseteq G(4m)/G(8m) \cap N(G(8m), T^{2m})/G(8m). \tag{3.9}$$

Since $|F| = 2^6$ and $[G(4m) : G(8m)] \leq 2^6$ (Lemma 2.1), we conclude that $F = G(4m)/G(8m)$ and that $G(4m) \subseteq N(G(8m), T^{2m})$. As a consequence, $N(G(4m), T^{2m}) \subseteq N(G(8m), T^{2m}) \subseteq G(2m)$. By Lemma 3.5, $N(G(4m), T^{2m}) = G(2m)$. Hence $N(G(8m), T^{2m}) = G(2m)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that m is a multiple of 4. Then $G(2m) \subseteq N(G(4m), T^m)$.*

Proof. By $(C4)$ of Appendix C, $N(G(4m), T^m)$ contains the following six matrices (modulo $4m$).

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2m\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2m\lambda & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1-2m\lambda^2 & 2m\lambda^3 \\ -2m\lambda & 1+2m\lambda^2 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2m \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2m & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1-2m\lambda & 2m\lambda^2 \\ -2m & 1+2m\lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

Applying Lemma 2.2, the above matrices generate an abelian group of $G(2m)/G(4m)$ of order 2^6. Since $|G(2m)/G(4m)| \leq 2^6$ (Lemma 2.1), we have $G(2m) \subseteq N(G(4m), T^m)$. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $2|m$. Then $[N(G(4m), T^{2m}) : G(4m)] = 2^5$. In particular, $N(G(4m), T^{2m}) \neq G(2m)$.*

Proof. We note first that although members in $(C4)$ are not generated by the conjugates of T^{2m} but they are members of $G(2m)$. As a consequence, one has $[G(2m) : G(4m)] \geq 2^6$. This implies that $[G(2m) : G(4m)] = 2^6$ (Lemma 2.1). Let $S_1 = \{T^{2m}\}$ and define S_{n+1} inductively to be $S_{n+1} = S_n \cup \{Sx_iS^{-1}, Tx_iT^{-1} : x_i \in S_n\}$. It is clear that $S_i \subseteq G(2m)$ for all i. Each S_i generates an elementary abelian group modulo $G(4m)$. Since $[G(2m) : G(4m)] = 2^6$ is finite, there exists some r such that $\langle S_r\rangle = \langle S_{r+1}\rangle$ modulo $G(4m)$. It follows that $N(G(4m), T^{2m}) = \langle S_r\rangle\, G(4m)/G(4m)$. Direct calculation shows that $\langle S_5\rangle = \langle S_6\rangle = \langle S_7\rangle = \cdots$ is given as follows.

$$\left\langle \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2m\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2m\lambda & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), I + 2m\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), I + 2m\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda+1 & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda+1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), I + 2m\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\right\rangle.$$

One can show by direct calculation that the above five matrices modulo $4m$ generate an elementary abelian group of order 2^5. Hence $[N(H(4m), T^{2m}) : G(4m)] = 2^5$ and $N(G(4m), T^{2m}) \neq G(2m)$. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that m is a multiple of 4. Then $G(2m) \subseteq N(G(4m), T^m)$.*

Proof. By $(C4)$ of Appendix C, $N(G(4m), T^m)$ contains the following six matrices (modulo $4m$).

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2m\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2m\lambda & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1-2m\lambda^2 & 2m\lambda^3 \\ -2m\lambda & 1+2m\lambda^2 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2m \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2m & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1-2m\lambda & 2m\lambda^2 \\ -2m & 1+2m\lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

Applying Lemma 2.2, the above matrices generate an abelian group of $G(2m)/G(4m)$ of order 2^6. Since $|G(2m)/G(4m)| \leq 2^6$ (Lemma 2.1), we have $G(2m) \subseteq N(G(4m), T^m)$. □

Discussion 3.9. Lemma 3.7 cannot be improved as $N(G(2m), T^m) \neq G(m)$ for $4|m$ (Lemma 3.8).

4. Wohlfahrt's Theorem for G_5

Theorem 4.1. *Let $K \subseteq G_5$ be a congruence subgroup of geometric level r and algebraic level (π). Let n be the rational integer below (π). Then the following holds.*

(i) *Suppose that r is odd . Then $G(r) \subseteq K$ and $n = r$.*
(ii) *Suppose that $r = 4k + 2$. Then $G(r) \subseteq K$ and $n = r$.*
(iii) *Suppose that $4|r$. Then $G(2r) \subseteq K$ and n is either r or $2r$.*

Proof. We shall first study the case that r is odd. Since the geometric level is r, $\pm xT^rx^{-1} \in K$ for all $x \in G_5$. Note that $G(n) \subseteq G(\pi) \subseteq K$. Hence K contains $N(G(nr), T^r)$. By Lemma 3.4, $G(r) \subseteq K$. Since the algebraic level is (π), $G(r) \subseteq G(n)$. Hence $n|r$. Conversely, since $G(n) \subseteq K$, the geometric level of K is a divisor of n. Hence $r|n$. It follows that $n = r$.

(ii) Since the geometric level is r, $\pm xT^r x^{-1} \in K$ for all $x \in G_5$. This implies that $N(G(nr), T^r) \subseteq K$. Set $nr = (2k+1)2^s r$. By Lemma 3.3, one has

$$G(2^s r) = N(G((2k+1)2^s r), T^{2^s r}) \subseteq N(G(nr), T^r) \subseteq K. \tag{4.1}$$

As a consequence,

$$N(G(2^s r), T^r) \subseteq N(G(nr), T^r) \subseteq K. \tag{4.2}$$

We now study the group $N(G(2^s r), T^r)$ in (4.2). It is clear that if $s \leq 2$. Then one has the following.

$$G(r) = N(G(2^s r), T^r) \subseteq K \quad \text{(Lemmas 3.5, 3.6).} \tag{4.3}$$

We shall now assume that $s \geq 3$. Let k be the smallest positive integer such that $G(2^k r) \subseteq K$. Suppose that $2^k \geq 8$. By Lemma 3.7,

$$G(2^{k-1} r) = N(G(4(2^{k-2} r), T^{2^{k-2} r}) \subseteq N(G(2^k r), T^r) \subseteq K. \tag{4.4}$$

This contradicts the minimality of k. Hence $s \leq 2$ and $G(r) \subseteq K$. This completes the proof of (ii). (iii) can be proved similarly. □

Discussion 4.2. Unlike the modular group case, the algebraic level (π) of K is not uniquely determined by the geometric level r. For instance, while the algebraic level of $G(5)$ and $G(2+\lambda)$ are (5) and $(2+\lambda)$ respectively, both $G(5)$ and $G(2+\lambda)$ are congruence of geometric level 5.

Discussion 4.3. (iii) of Theorem 4.1 cannot be improved as $K = N(G(8), T^4)$ has geometric level 4 and algebraic 8 (see Lemma 3.8).

5. Congruence Subgroup Problem

Let K be a subgroup of G_5 of finite index and let Φ be a special polygon of K (see subsection 3.2). Applying (v) of subsection 3.2, the geometric level r of K can be determined geometrically. By Theorem 4.1, we have the following.

Proposition 5.1. *Let K be a subgroup of finite index of G_5 with geometric level m. Then*

(i) *Suppose that $m \not\equiv 0 \ (mod\ 4)$. Then K is congruence if and only if $G(m) \subseteq K$.*
(ii) *Suppose that $4|m$. Then K is congruence if and only if $G(2m) \subseteq K$.*

An algorithm for the determination of whether a subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is congruence can be found in [LLT2]. With the help of Proposition 5.1, the algorithm given in [LLT2] can be generalised easily to the Hecke group G_q (q prime). An easy observation of the special polygons implies that

(i) There is a unique subgroup of index 2 given as in subsection 5.1.
(ii) G_5 has subgroups of all possible indices except for 3 and 4.
(iii) A special polygon of a subgroup of index 5 consists of one special 5-gon. Its side pairings must have an element of order 2. There are altogether 26 subgroups of index 5 (see [LLT3]).
(iv) The only normal subgroup of index 5 is $K = \langle x^5 : x \in G_5 \rangle$, a set of independent generators of K consists of 5 elements of order 2 (see subsection 5.7).

Note that since 5 is a prime, subgroups of index 5 are either normal or self-normalised. As a consequence, each non-normal subgroup of index 5 has 5 conjugates. Our study of subgroups of index ≤ 5 is recorded as follows.

5.1. G_5 has a unique subgroup of index 2 with the following Hecke Farey symbol. It is congruence of geometric level 2. The algebraic level is also 2.

$$-\infty \underset{\bullet}{\smile} 0 \underset{\bullet}{\smile} \infty \tag{5.1}$$

5.2. Index 5, $v_2 = 1$ with geometric level 2. $G_0(2)$ is one of them with the following Hecke Farey symbols. $G_0(2)$ is self normalised. Consequently, $G_0(2)$ has 5 conjugates. $\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong G_0(2)/G(2) \subseteq G_5/G(2) \cong D_{10}$.

$$-\infty \underset{1}{\smile} 0 \underset{2}{\smile} 1/\lambda \underset{\circ}{\smile} \lambda/\lambda \underset{2}{\smile} \lambda \underset{1}{\smile} \infty \tag{5.2}$$

5.3. Index 5, $v_2 = 1$ with geometric level 3. The following subgroup K is one of them. K has 5 conjugates. Since $G_5/G(3) \cong A_5$ has 5 subgroups of index 5, G_5 has 5 congruence subgroups of geometric level 3, index 5. It follows that K and its conjugates are congruence as they are the only subgroups (of geometric level 3) among the 26 subgroups of index 5. The algebraic level of these groups is also 3.

$$-\infty \underset{1}{\smile} 0 \underset{1}{\smile} 1/\lambda \underset{\circ}{\smile} \lambda/\lambda \underset{2}{\smile} \lambda \underset{2}{\smile} \infty \tag{5.3}$$

5.4. Index 5, $v_2 = 1$ with geometric level 5. The following subgroup K is one of them. K has 5 conjugates. Since $G_5/G(2+\lambda) \cong A_5$ has 5 subgroups of index 5, G_5 has 5 congruence subgroups of geometric level 5, algebraic level $2 + \lambda$, index 5. It follows that K and its conjugates are congruence as they are the only non-normal subgroups (of geometric level 5) among the 26 subgroups of index 5. The algebraic level of these groups is $2 + \lambda$.

$$-\infty \underset{1}{\smile} 0 \underset{2}{\smile} 1/\lambda \underset{\circ}{\smile} \lambda/\lambda \underset{1}{\smile} \lambda \underset{2}{\smile} \infty \tag{5.4}$$

5.5. Index 5, $v_2 = 3$ with geometric level 4. The following subgroup K is one of them. K has 5 conjugates. Suppose that K is congruence. By Proposition 5.1, $G(8) \subseteq K$. Note that $[G_5 : G(8)] = 2^{11}5$, $[G_5 : K] = 5$. Hence $K/G(8)$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G_5/G(8)$. Note that $G_0(2)/G(8)$ is also a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G_5/G(8)$. Hence K and $G_0(2)$ are conjugate to each other. This is a contradiction as these two groups have different geometric invariants. Hence K is not congruence.

$$-\infty \underset{1}{\smile} 0 \underset{\circ}{\smile} 1/\lambda \underset{\circ}{\smile} \lambda/\lambda \underset{\circ}{\smile} \lambda \underset{1}{\smile} \infty \tag{5.5}$$

5.6. Index 5, $v_2 = 3$ with geometric level 6. $H_5/H(6) \cong H(2)/H(6) \times H(3)/H(6) \cong SL(2,5) \times D_{10}$. Let A be a subgroup of $H(2)/H(6)$ of order 24 and let B be a subgroup of $H(3)/H(6)$ of order 2. Set $V = \langle A \times B, (a,b) \rangle$ where a is an element of $H(2)/H(6)$ of order 5 and b is an element of $H(3)/H(6)$ of order 5. It is clear that $H(2)/H(6)$ and $H(3)/H(6)$ are not subgroups of V and that V is of index 5 in $H_5/H(6)$. Set $K = VZ/Z$ (Z is the centre of H_5). Then K is a subgroup of $G_5/G(6)$ of index 5. Note that $G(3)/G(6)$ and $G(2)/G(6)$ are not subgroups of K. Hence K is of geometric level 6. By (ii) of Theorem 4.1, K has algebraic level 6 (2 and 3 are primes in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$). K has 5 conjugates and one of them is given as follows. They are the only ones among the 26 groups with geometric level 6.

$$-\infty \underset{\circ}{\smile} 0 \underset{1}{\smile} 1/\lambda \underset{\circ}{\smile} \lambda/\lambda \underset{1}{\smile} \lambda \underset{\circ}{\smile} \infty \tag{5.6}$$

5.7. Index 5, $v_2 = 5$ with geometric level 5. Such subgroup is unique. A set of independent generators is given as follows.We will investigate this group further in a separate article (see [LL]).

$$-\infty \underset{\circ}{\smile} 0 \underset{\circ}{\smile} 1/\lambda \underset{\circ}{\smile} \lambda/\lambda \underset{\circ}{\smile} \lambda \underset{\circ}{\smile} \infty \tag{5.7}$$

Discussion. It is well known that subgroups of index ≤ 6 of the modular group $PSL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ are congruence. This is not true for the Hecke group G_5 as the above examples indicate (subsection 5.5).

6. Appendix A

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Set

$$A = T^m = I + m\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = ST^mS^{-1} = I + m\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (A1)$$

Let S and T be given as in (1.1). Denoted by Φ_m the smallest normal subgroup of G_5 that contains T^m. Note that $T^{-m} \in \Phi_m$. The main purpose of this appendix is to find members of Φ_m. It is clear that $C = TST^mS^{-1}T^{-1}$ and $D = T^{-1}ST^{-m}S^{-1}T$ are members of Φ_m. C and D are given as follows.

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1-m\lambda^2 & m\lambda^3 \\ -m\lambda & 1+m\lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}, D = \begin{pmatrix} 1-m\lambda^2 & -m\lambda^3 \\ m\lambda & 1+m\lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (A2)$$

Note that $\lambda^2 = \lambda + 1$ and $\lambda^3 = 2\lambda + 1$. Hence the actual matrix form of C and D are given as follows as well.

$$C = I + m\begin{pmatrix} -\lambda-1 & 2\lambda+1 \\ -\lambda & \lambda+1 \end{pmatrix}, D = I + m\begin{pmatrix} -\lambda-1 & -2\lambda-1 \\ \lambda & \lambda+1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (A3)$$

Let p be an odd prime divisor of m. Then $(I + mU)(I + mV) = I + m(U + V) \pmod{mp}$. This transforms matrix multiplication $(I + mU)(I + mV)$ modulo mp into matrix addition $I + m(U + V)$. As a consequence, we have the following.

$$E = A^{-2}B^{-1}C \equiv I + m\begin{pmatrix} -\lambda-1 & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda+1 \end{pmatrix}, F = A^2BD \equiv I + m\begin{pmatrix} -\lambda-1 & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda+1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{mp} \quad (A4)$$

Note that A, B, C, D, E, and F are members of Φ_m. We now conjugate E and F by S. It follows easily that

$$G = SES^{-1} \equiv I + m\begin{pmatrix} \lambda+1 & 0 \\ -1 & -\lambda-1 \end{pmatrix}, H = SFS^{-1} \equiv I + m\begin{pmatrix} \lambda+1 & 0 \\ 1 & -\lambda-1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{mp}. \quad (A5)$$

Note that $G, H \in \Phi_m$. Applying the identity $(I + mU)(I + mV) \equiv I + m(U + V) \pmod{mp}$, we have

$$GE \equiv I + m\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, GF \equiv I + m\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{mp} \quad (A6)$$

Recall that p is odd. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be chosen such that $2r \equiv 1$ modulo p. It follows that Φ_m contains the following element.

$$X = (GEGF)^r \equiv \left(I + m\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)^r = I + m\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -m & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \pmod{mp} \quad (A7)$$

Lemma A. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let p be an odd prime divisor of m. Denoted by Φ_m the smallest normal subgroup of G_5 that contains T^m. Then Φ_m contains the set*

$$\Delta_m = \{T^m, ST^mS^{-1}, TST^mS^{-1}T^{-1}, SXS^{-1}, X, TSXS^{-1}T^{-1}\}. \quad (A8)$$

Remark. The matrix representatives of the members in the set Δ_m modulo mp are given as follows.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & m\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -m\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1-m\lambda^2 & m\lambda^3 \\ -m\lambda & 1+m\lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -m & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1-m\lambda & m\lambda^2 \\ -m & 1+m\lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\lambda^n = F_n\lambda + F_{n-1}$ where F_k is the k-th Fibonacci numbers ($F_1 = 1, F_2 = 1, F_3 = 2, F_{k+1} = F_k + F_{k-1}$).

7. Appendix B

Let m be an odd rational integer and let Φ_{2m} be the smallest normal subgroup of G_5 that contains T^{2m}. Following step by step of what we have done in Appendix A ((A1) to (A6)), Φ_{2m} consists of the following elements, A, B, C, GE and $T(GE)T^{-1}$. The matrix representatives of these five matrices modulo $4m$ are

$$A_1 = I + 2m\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_2 = I + 2m\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_3 = I + 2m\begin{pmatrix} -\lambda-1 & 1 \\ -\lambda & \lambda+1 \end{pmatrix}, A_4 = I + 2m\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $A_5 = I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & \lambda \\ -1 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$. In order to determine the order of the group generated by these five matrices modulo $4m$, we consider $A_6 = A_1A_5$ and $A_7 = A_1A_5A_2A_3$. The matrix representatives of A_1, A_2, A_6, A_4 and A_7 (in this order) are given as follows.

$$I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 0 \\ -1 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Denoted by E the group generated by the above five elements modulo $4m$. Applying the identity $(I + 2mU)(I + 2mV) \equiv I + 2m(U + V)$ modulo $4m$, ones sees that the (1,1) and (2,2)-entries the matrix generated by the first three matrices is of the form $1 + 2m\lambda$ or 1 and the (1,1) and (2,2)-entries the matrix generated by the last two matrices is of the form $1 + 2m$ or 1. Consequently, one can show that E is elementary abelian of order 2^5 if $m \geq 2$. In the case $m = 1$, the last two matrices A_4 and A_7 satisfy $A_4 \equiv -A_7 \pmod 4$. As we are working on G_5, where a matrix is identified with its negative, E has order 2^4.

8. Appendix C

Let m be a rational integer. Suppose that m is a multiple of 4. One sees easily that

$$(I + mU)(I + mV) \equiv I + m(U + V) \pmod{4m}. \tag{C1}$$

Let S and T be given as in (1.1) and construct matrices A, B, C, D, E, F as what we have done in Appendix A. It follows that

$$GE \equiv I + m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ GF \equiv I + m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{4m}. \tag{C2}$$

Hence

$$Y = GEGF \equiv I + m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = I + 2m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{4m}. \tag{C3}$$

Let Φ_{2m} be the smallest normal subgroup that contains T^m. Similar to Appendix A, Φ_{2m} contains

$$\Delta_{2m} = \{T^{2m}, ST^{2m}S^{-1}, TST^{2m}S^{-1}T^{-1}, SYS^{-1}, Y, TSYS^{-1}T^{-1}\}. \tag{C4}$$

Similar to Appendix A, the actual matrix representatives of members in Φ_{2m} modulo $4m$ are given as follows.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2m\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2m\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2m\lambda^2 & 2m\lambda^3 \\ -2m\lambda & 1 + 2m\lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2m & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2m\lambda & 2m\lambda^2 \\ -2m & 1 + 2m\lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

9. Appendix D. Wohlfahrt's Theorem for Modular group

The main purpose of this appendix is to give an elementary proof of Wohlfahrt's Theorem where Dirichlet's Theorem (see pp. 34 of [Wa]) is not used.

Lemma D1. *Let p be a prime and let A be a subgroup of $SL(2, p)$ that contains at least two Sylow p-subgroups. Then $A = SL(2, p)$.*

Proof. Recall first that $|SL(2, p)| = (p+1)p(p-1)$ and that $SL(2, p)$ has $p+1$ Sylow p-subgroups. Since A has at least two Sylow p-subgroups, Sylow's Theorem implies that A contains all the Sylow p-subgroups of $SL(2, p)$. In particular, A contains all the elements of the following forms.

$$U(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, L(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p. \tag{D1}$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a field, one sees easily by applying elementary row and column operation that every element in $SL(2, p)$ can be written as a word in $U(x)$ and $L(y)$. Hence $A = SL(2, p)$. □

Lemma D2. *Let $p, m \in \mathbb{N}$, where p is a be a prime. Then $N(\Gamma(mp), T^m) = \Gamma(m)$, where $N(\Gamma(mp), T^m)$ is the smallest normal subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ that contains $\Gamma(mp)$ and T^m).*

Proof. We first assume that p is a divisor of m. The index formula of $\Gamma(n)$ implies that $|\Gamma(m)/\Gamma(mp)| = p^3$. Every element in $\Gamma(m)$ takes the form $I + m\sigma$, where σ is a 2×2 matrix. Since p is a prime divisor of m, one has $(I + m\sigma)(I + m\tau) \equiv I + m(\sigma + \tau)$ (mod

mp). Hence $\Gamma(m)/\Gamma(mp)$ is abelian and every non-identity element has order p. Equivalently, $\Gamma(m)/\Gamma(mp) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. The following is clear.

$$\Gamma(m)/\Gamma(mp) \cong \left\langle U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -m & 1 \end{pmatrix}, W = \begin{pmatrix} 1-m & m \\ -m & 1+m \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle. \qquad (D2)$$

One sees easily that $U = T^m$, $V = ST^mS^{-1}$ and $W = TVT^{-1}$. Since these three elements are conjugates of T^m, we conclude that $\Gamma(m)/\Gamma(mp) \subseteq N(\Gamma(mp), T^m)/\Gamma(mp)$. Hence $\Gamma(m) = N(\Gamma(mp), T^m)$. We now assume that $\gcd(p, m) = 1$. The index formula of $\Gamma(n)$ implies that $\Gamma(m)/\Gamma(mp) \cong SL(2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. It is clear that $\langle T^m \rangle$ and $\langle ST^mS^{-1} \rangle$ are two different Sylow p-subgroups of $N(\Gamma(mp), T^m)/\Gamma(mp)$. By Lemma D1, $N(\Gamma(mp), T^m) = \Gamma(m)$. □

Wohlfahrt's Lemma. *Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Then the smallest normal subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ that contains $\Gamma(rs)$ and T^s is $\Gamma(s)$.*

Proof. Let k be the smallest positive integer such that $\Gamma(k) \subseteq N(\Gamma(rs), T^s)$. Note that $N(\Gamma(rs), T^s) \subseteq \Gamma(s)$. Hence $s|k$. Suppose that $s < k$. We may write k into $k = mp$, where p is a prime and $s|m$. By Lemma D2, $\Gamma(m) = N(\Gamma(mp), T^m) = N(\Gamma(k), T^m) \subseteq N(\Gamma(rs), T^s)$. This contradicts the minimality of k. Hence $N(\Gamma(rs), T^s) = \Gamma(s)$ □

Wolhfahrt's Theorem. *Let $K \subseteq PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ be a congruence subgroup of geometric level r and algebraic level s. Then $r = s$.*

Proof. Set $\overline{\Gamma}(r) = \Gamma(r) \langle \pm I \rangle / \langle \pm I \rangle$. Since the geometric level of K is r, $xT^rx^{-1} \in K$ for all x. Let $N(\overline{\Gamma}(rs), T^r)$ be the smallest normal subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ that contains $\overline{\Gamma}(rs)$ and T^r. By Wohlfahrt's Lemma, $\overline{\Gamma}(r) = N(\overline{\Gamma}(rs), T^r) \subseteq K$. It follows that $\overline{\Gamma}(r) \subseteq \overline{\Gamma}(s)$ as s is the algebraic level. Hence s is a divisor of r. On the other hand, since $\overline{\Gamma}(s) \subseteq K$, the geometric level r is a divisor of s. In summary, $r = s$. □

References

[K] R.S. Kulkarni, *An arithmetic-Geometric method in the study of subgroups of the modular group*, Amer. J Math. 113 (1991), 1053-1134.

[LL] C. L. Lang and M. L. Lang, *The commutator subgroup of the Hecke group G_5 is not congruence*, Preprint.

[LLT1] M. L. Lang, C. H. Lim, S. P. Tan, *Independent generators for congruence subgroups of Hecke groups*, Math. Z. 220 (1995), 569 – 594.

[LLT2] M. L. Lang, C. H. Lim, S. P. Tan, *An algorithm for determining if a subgroup of the modular group is congruence*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 51 (1995), 491-502.

[LLT3] M. L. Lang, C. H. Lim, S. P. Tan, *Subgroups of the Hecke groups with small index*, Linear and multilinear algebra, 35 (1993), 75-77.

[Sh] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Iwanami Shoten, Publishers and Princeton University Press 1971.

[Wa] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[Wo] K. Wohlfahrt, *An extesnion of F. Klein's level concept*, Illinois J. of Math. 8 (1964), 529 – 535.

Department of Mathematics, I-Shou University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, Republic of China.
`cllang@isu.edu.tw`

Singapore 669608, Republic of Singapore.
`lang2to46@gmail.com`